



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,296	05/20/2004	Nicolas I. Kacevas	2207/684202	5592
23838	7590 01/05/		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			MEONSKE, TONIA L	
	EET, N.W., SUITE ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2183	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/849,296	KACEVAS, NICOLAS I.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Tonia L Meonske	2183			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 May 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 20-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date So Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Contact PTO-413 Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Application/Control Number: 10/849,296

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2. Claims 20-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. The language of the claims raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to a technological art, environment or machine which would result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Double Patenting

- 4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- 5. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 6. Claims 20-27, 29-34, 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-3, 5, 6, 7, 4, 8, 10-15, 18-21 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,757,815. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 7. Claims 28, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 53, 54, 55, and 56 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double-patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9, 16, ...

Application/Control Number: 10/849,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

16, 16, 17, 17 1, 17, 17, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,815. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claims 9, 16, 16, 16, 16, 17, 17 1, 17, and 19 of US Patent 6,757,81 5 contain every element of claims 28, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 53, 54, 55, and 56, respectively, of the instant application and as such anticipate claims 28, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 53, 54, 55, and 56 of the instant application.

8. "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). "ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jeremiah et al., US Patent 5,446,850.
- 11. Referring to claim 53, Jeremiah et al. have taught a method, comprising:
 - a. concurrently comparing

Application/Control Number: 10/849,296

Art Unit: 2183

i. a plurality of tag entries, each tag entry comprising a concatenation of a tag identifier (Figure 8, element 314) and a bank identifier (Figure 8, element 312) with

Page 4

ii. a plurality of input identifiers (Figure 8, elements 146 and 133), each input identifier comprising a concatenation of an input tag identifier (Figure 8, Element 146 has at least two bits {column 11, lines 63-66}, a first of which is an input tag identifier. Element 133 has at least two bits {column 10, lines 31-34}, a first of which is also an input tag identifier.) and a respective bank identifier (Figure 8, Element 146 has at least two bits {column 11, lines 63-66}, a second of which is a bank identifier. Element 133 has at least two bits {column 10, lines 31-34}, a second of which is also a bank identifier.)

Conclusion

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (571) 272-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-4:30.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/849,296

Art Unit: 2183

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

RICHARD L ELLIS PRIMARY EXAMINER